# Discipleship as a Means of Assimilating New Members into the Congregation 

by James W. Sherod

[A paper for Prof. Valleskey’s PT 358A Class, November 14, 1988]
Jesus told a parable once about a shepherd who had a hundred sheep and one of those had strayed. Using this illustration, Jesus pointed out the importance of every individual soul and ends it saying, "I tell you that in the same way there is more rejoicing in heaven over one sinner who repents than over ninety-nine righteous persons who do not need to repent." (Luke 15:7)
It seems that our modern church has taken this to heart and has given heaven many potential opportunities to rejoice over those who have strayed away from the fold that may someday return. People leave churches in droves, out what is known "the back door." Back door losses have reached epidemic proportions in some churches. Joel Heck glaringly illuminates the magnitude of the problem that some denominations are experiencing by quoting such statistics as "One denomination had a net increase of 122,836 members over two decades, but during that same period it had welcomed 4,122,354 new members." ${ }^{1}$

When we hear of such staggering losses, we can thank God that we are not like those other church bodies. We don't see such losses in our church body, the Wisconsin Evangelical Lutheran Synod! But could it be that we don't see it because we have shut our eyes to the problem? Over the last two decades we have increased our membership by 48,758, but during that same period we have lost 312,504 out the "back door"! The membership of the WELS would be 732,310 right now if we had not lost anyone out of the "back door" from 1967-1987. Graphically the representation between where we are and where we could have been can be seen from the graphs and accompanying statistical figures in appendix A. ${ }^{2}$

Clearly, then, the problem which this paper addresses is nothing that we of the WELS have anything to feel smug about. Even in a comparison with the denomination that Heck quotes, we are twice as far behind in conserving souls. ${ }^{3}$ The questions assail us: What is it that makes people who have joined a church leave? Should we re-evaluate our method of making people a member of the church? Can we isolate factors which are beyond our control from those which we can remedy? How should we tackle the problem? Is this a problem of the whole congregation or should a committee be assigned the task?

It is my contention after having thought about the problem many hard, long hours and having listened to the replies of bewildered pastors who basically have flung up their hands in despair, that the problem of assimilation is indeed the responsibility of the whole congregation. This means each and every member of the congregation must personally shoulder part of the task of helping another find a home in the church, of overcoming the sense of "otherness" that he or she inevitably feels in the presence of a group of strangers. ${ }^{4}$

In a Gallup poll taken in 1978, results showed that people drop out of church "because spiritual meaning, personal relationships, and mission activity are lacking." ${ }^{5}$ These are not the type of factors that programs and boards can provide. These are only given through personal, heart-to-heart interaction within the body of Christ. This is not to say that these personal relationships cannot be assisted or fostered by the

[^0]superstructure planned by a board or committee, but one will see that a basic premise for a solution to the problem of back door losses is assimilation through one-on-one discipleship. Christians may "survive" in a church that does not practice discipleship, but rarely will such a congregation actually flourish.

When Jesus said for us to go and proclaim the good news to all creation in the gospel of Mark, he did so in abbreviated form. It is typical that Mark, recording the hasty Peter's remembrances, should do so. However, the church should pay particular attention to the more detailed great commission of Matthew 28. There Christ starts by defining our mission with the one imperative form in the whole verse: "Make disciples". Then he outlines how we are to do this: 1) We are to go out into the world in His name to all the nations. 2) We are to baptize those who believe in the name of the Triune God. And final1y 3) We are to continue to teach them ALL THINGS Christ has "commanded" us. Furthermore we have Christ's own promise that as we do this instructing with His Word, He Himself will be present till the completion of this age of grace when judgment day comes.

As one can see from the foregoing, Christ is interested not in just getting people to "make a decision" (even in the correct sense of after having been captivated by His Word and after having been brought to faith by the Spirit through the Word, now to have chosen to join this or that congregation), but rather in having them be a disciple. ${ }^{6}$ Joining a congregation doesn't make one a disciple of Jesus. Christ wants to see those who are mature in Christ taking a special interest in those who are newly sprung to life so that the convert receives shade when the troubles of life threaten to wither them down to the roots ( $\mathrm{Mk} 4: 17$ ); to ensure they are regularly nurtured with the pure milk of God's Word (1 Pet 2:2); to help guard them against the forest fires of gossip (James 3:5-6) or sins by which Satan tries to burn them up (Eph 6:16).

Now lest what has just said be twisted to apply only to the new convert in Christ, we must also realize that the disciple making process truly never ends. Even with people who are transferring in to our congregation or have previously been Christians in another denomination and are now joining in visible fellowship with our church body, discipleship is a must. This is because everyone of us is continually growing in our relationship with Christ. ${ }^{7}$ The example that Paul gave us, "Therefore I urge you to imitate me" (1 Cor 4:16) shows us how those who are mature in the faith are to act as role models for the less mature in the faith. Jesus was the one whom the disciples grew to know and love through three intense years of physical contact. They saw how he ate, drank, talked, praised, abhorred evil, prayed and was faithful even to death. As one author puts it, "His qualities and characteristics were to be engraved in their lives." ${ }^{8}$ Paul also had the privilege of intense discipling of our Lord (Gal 1:17). Paul imitated Christ as he trained Timothy. Then Paul sent his disciple Timothy, who imitated Paul (really Christ - 1 Cor $4: 17$ ) as he discipled the Corinthians. And so the process was to continue on in the Corinthian congregation and in all the Christian congregations. Heb 13:7-8 reminds us: "Remember your leaders, who spoke the word of God to you. Consider the outcome of their way of life and imitate their faith. Jesus Christ is the same yesterday today and forever." It is this consistent, unchanging reflection of Jesus Christ that God calls the church to imitate when through inspiration he directed the writer of Hebrews to say, "Remember your leaders...and imitate their faith."

Therefore we find that contrary to most modern concepts of disciple making, the New Testament gives us an example of the leaders of the church bearing the primary responsibility to disciple others in their church so that those others can in turn disciple still others. After all why did God give leaders in the church? God gave "some to be apostles, some to be prophets, some to be evangelists, and some to be pastors and teachers, to prepare God's people for works of service, so that the whole body of Christ may be built up until we all reach unity in the faith and in the knowledge of the Son of God and become mature, attaining to the whole measure of the fullness of Christ." (Eph 4:11-13) This type of discipling requires more contact with people than merely preaching to them for 20 minutes a week. It requires more than even sharing God’s Word with them among a

[^1]crowd of people for an hour each week. This may be sufficient after one has been discipled for an extensive period, but to start with a personal relationship, between those who are mature and those who are being instructed in the Christian's walk with God, is a necessity. ${ }^{9}$

Discipleship overcomes problems typically listed as the decisive factors in keeping people from being assimilated into the congregation. McGavran and Arn give a typical scenario:

Congregations often develop mechanisms which unintentionally exclude new members. Churches need to look at themselves carefully at this point. For example, Mr. X comes through the front door as a new member. He is given the right hand of fellowship. He is told that he is loved. He is given offering envelopes. Mr. X then begins looking for a group with whom he can identify and be a part.

I was once an X. I had received the right hand of fellowship along with the offering envelopes. I had been told how much I was loved and how happy I would be in the church. However, I soon discovered that groups of people who knew each other spent most of their time within those groups. People all seemed to "belong." I didn’t! Perhaps the problem was with me. I tried a few groups, and they were "friendly" but did not incorporate me. Eventually, I did what every other X does, I drifted out the back door. We don't speak much of back door, but evangelism is ineffective if the front door and the back door are both wide open. The back door will remain open unless smaller groups within the larger body not only "welcome" new people but really incorporate them into the fellowship. ${ }^{10}$

The problem described above is all too typical in Christian congregations today. But if there were someone who took responsibility for the new member's introduction and acclimation to the congregation during this critical time period, someone whose goal was to disciple Mr. X, some of the strangeness and awkwardness that newcomers inevitably feel could be reduced and made inconsequential. ${ }^{11}$

Now obviously the pastor cannot directly disciple 1000 or even 100 people all at once. And yet the proper response is not just to shake the head and say "who is adequate for so great a task?" (2 Cor 3:5-6 can be misapplied as an excuse for shirking our work) or again "Well, after all, each person is responsible for his own soul." (Cf Gen 4:9, Rom 12:5, Phil 2:4, Heb 13:17) The discipling process is something that must be developed within the congregation. One of the ways that many propose to develop caring, nurturing relationships is through smaller groups within the local congregation (whether they are Bible Study groups or service orientated groups). McGavran and Arn write:

The same love and caring that brings people into any church must be exercised by groups within that church so that newcomers really become "one of us." The new person has to be "grafted" into some group in the church, and that takes doing. ${ }^{12}$

These smaller groups are all the more necessary for congregations who have increased in size to the point that not everyone is able to recognize and know everyone else. C. Peter Wagner points this out when he says:

When a growing church begins to pass the 200 to 300 mark, more and more strangers are noticed in the worship service. The church is no longer one happy family where everyone knows everyone else. Fellowship has to take place in several subgroups rather than among the

[^2]membership group as a whole. And if the church is to continue to grow well, the fellowship groups have to be prepared to divide regularly." ${ }^{13}$

Likewise Lyle Schaller says, "This [the small group’s cohesiveness] also may be the most important single factor in that church's ability to assimilate new members." ${ }^{14}$

All this calls for the pastor to train leaders to be theologically sound and at the same time effective, caring people who can help the pastor teach, rebuke, correct, and train others in righteousness. The leaders of such groups will be people whom the pastor is able to trust. The pastor will disciple such people so they in turn may disciple others. ${ }^{15}$

A structure of discipling within small groups has several advantages over the congregation as a unit trying to carry on assimilation. The bond of fellowship and friendship between group members provides a protective web for those hit by tragedy or suddenly caught in a snare. Instead of a nebulous "caring attitude", small groups force people to realistically put the love of Christ into practice with those who are hurting within their group. Such groups form natural bridges for evangelism prospects to get to know a few people before facing the trauma of facing a whole congregation of strangers on Sunday morning. Also the relaxed atmosphere of a small group along with the limited amount of people insures that even a reclusive person will have opportunity to communicate and share insights that otherwise might pass by unnoticed in the crowd. Not inconsequentially, a small group ensures that a person knows at least 7 people well in the church, which seems to be a critical number of people to get to know if someone will stay with the church past six months. ${ }^{16}$

There can be a number of negative things to watch for in connection with small group situations however. The experience 17th century Lutheranism had with pietism makes the very idea noxious to most pastors. It is associated with a downplaying of the means of grace and separatist tendencies which lead to "churches within churches." Also, such groups can tend over time to become exclusive rather than inclusive. It would be possible for a congregation to become so rigidly set that it would be an impossibility for a newcomer to join in! Groups can become gossiping, agitating cliques that serve no purpose other than to hinder the spread of the Gospel.

For the above reasons, it is all the more necessary that the pastor find a leader he can trust. It may be slow going at first, finding the people who can handle the leadership role, making sure they are trustworthy, training them. But when one looks at the alternative (lost souls) surely any effort is worth it. Also it may be a good idea to rotate members from group to group so that cliques don't form. If the groups were in the habit of reforming every 6 months or so this could alleviate the threat of groups rallying behind some cause and causing the congregation grief. The real influence to stop such things though must be the leader who will remind the group that their purpose for getting together is not to tear down other's actions or programs, but to build up each other's faith.

Another area at which disciple making can help readily assimilate members is by providing opportunities for service. The Church Growth people of course have everything neatly categorized into numbers. Heck warns, "There need to be at least 60 roles and tasks available for every 100 members in your church." And goes on to quote Win Arn, "Any fewer than 60 'creates an environment which produces inactive members [emphasis Arn's]" ${ }^{17}$ While I'm not sure as to the exactness of their number here, it does stand to reason that someone who understands what the Lord has done for him, and then is not given opportunity to

[^3]express that thanks in service to the. Lord through the congregation, will be most likely to give up after a while and seek a church that will allow him to use his talents in service to God.

In conclusion, assimilation (the process of integrating someone into a congregation) is a very definite problem in most church bodies, WELS not excepted. Since we see the need for change, our churches should be looking at the alternatives. The alternative I suggest is disciple making by the pastor through the leaders of the congregation to the members in conjunction with small service/study groups. There is no perfect "program" or "structure" which will magically solve our assimilation problems. However, it seems rather wasteful to expend so much effort in training our young people or doing evangelism work, only to see them never really become part of the congregation and silently fall through the cracks. Also, even if some of these people who leave through the back door join other church bodies, to have brought people into contact with the precious truth of Christianity that our synod possesses and then let them drift away into semi-pelagian groups such as Roman Catholicism or the Reformed traditions is an absolute tragedy to say the least. As one Professor Valleskey has put it, "An evangelism program is only as good as its weakest link." May the Lord of the harvest grant us the wisdom to close the door on back door losses through effectively discipling our members, thereby assimilating them into the church.
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Appendix $A$
Membership (Galn/Loss/Net) Numbers for 1967-1987


Membership (Galn/Loss/Net) Percentages for 1967-1987



Figures Used to Compute WELS Backdoor Losses

|  | $\#$ <br> Entering | $\#$ <br> Buried | App. Gain | \% App. <br> Gain | Net Loss | \% Net <br> Loss | Real Gain | \% Net <br> Loss/Gain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 17782 | 3473 | 14309 | 3.889 | 11220 | 3.049 | 3089 | 0.839 |
| 1968 | 17701 | 3731 | 13970 | 3.765 | 13216 | 3.562 | 754 | 0.203 |
| 1969 | 18255 | 3749 | 14506 | 3.902 | 9989 | 2.687 | 4517 | 1.215 |
| 1970 | 18757 | 3760 | 14997 | 3.985 | 9995 | 2.656 | 5002 | 1.329 |
| 1971 | 18746 | 3737 | 15009 | 3.936 | 13067 | 3.427 | 1942 | 0.509 |
| 1972 | 18183 | 3874 | 14309 | 3.733 | 11328 | 2.956 | 2981 | 0.778 |
| 1973 | 18249 | 3788 | 14461 | 3.744 | 12294 | 3.183 | 2167 | 0.561 |
| 1979 | 21953 | 3710 | 18243 | 4.697 | 16626 | 4.281 | 1617 | 0.416 |
| 1975 | 22979 | 3660 | 19319 | 4.953 | 12802 | 3.282 | 6517 | 1.671 |
| 1976 | 23065 | 3894 | 19171 | 4.835 | 15515 | 3.913 | 3656 | 0.922 |
| 1977 | 22935 | 3756 | 19179 | 4.792 | 16807 | 4.200 | 2372 | 0.593 |
| 1978 | 22774 | 3690 | 19084 | 4.741 | 17747 | 4.408 | 1337 | 0.332 |
| 1979 | 23095 | 3807 | 19288 | 4.775 | 17686 | 4.379 | 1602 | 0.397 |
| 1980 | 23545 | 3770 | 19775 | 4.877 | 17300 | 4.266 | 2475 | 0.610 |
| 1981 | 23617 | 3841 | 19776 | 4.847 | 16547 | 4.056 | 3229 | 0.791 |
| 1982 | 22893 | 3688 | 19205 | 4.670 | 16918 | 4.114 | 2287 | 0.556 |
| 1983 | 22184 | 3994 | 18190 | 4.399 | 16325 | 3.948 | 1865 | 0.451 |
| 1984 | 22671 | 3846 | 18825 | 4.532 | 17536 | 4.222 | 1289 | 0.310 |
| 1985 | 22743 | 3992 | 18751 | 4.500 | 18784 | 4.508 | -33 | -0.008 |
| 1986 | 21246 | 3993 | 17253 | 4.141 | 16122 | 3.870 | 1131 | 0.271 |
| 1987 | 20675 | 3944 | 16731 | 4.005 | 14680 | 3.514 | 2051 | 0.491 |

The above figures were computed as follows:
E (\# Entering) = Child bapt + Child conf + Adult conf + PDF
B (\# Buried) = Figure from WELS Statistical Report
C ("Current Year's" Baptized Membership)
P ("Previous Year's" Baptized Membership)
AG (Apparent Gain) = E - B
\% AG (\% App. Gain) $=(((\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{AG}) / \mathrm{P}) * 100)-100$
RG (Real Gain) = C - P
\% RG (\% Real Gain) $=((\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}) / \mathrm{P}) * 100$
NL (Net Loss) = AG - RG
\% NL (\% Net Loss) $=(1-(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NL}) / \mathrm{P}) * 100$ OR (\% AG - \% RG)
Note: PDF was not given for the years 1967-1973, therefore these years do not show the full extent of "back door losses." The average \% RG reflects this deficiency. For the years 1967-73 the \% RG = .7764, while the $1974-87 \%$ RS $=.5575$. Presumably the actual \% RG for 1967-73 was around .55 also.


WELS Membership Figures

|  | Actual | Actual + Losses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 371048 | 382268 |
| 1968 | 371802 | 396238 |
| 1969 | 376319 | 410744 |
| 1970 | 381321 | 425741 |
| 1971 | 383263 | 440750 |
| 1972 | 386244 | 455059 |
| 1973 | 388411 | 469520 |
| 1974 | 390028 | 487763 |
| 1975 | 396545 | 507082 |
| 1976 | 400201 | 526253 |
| 1977 | 402573 | 545432 |
| 1978 | 403910 | 564516 |
| 1979 | 405512 | 583804 |
| 1980 | 407987 | 603579 |
| 1981 | 411216 | 623355 |
| 1982 | 413503 | 642560 |
| 1983 | 415368 | 660750 |
| 1984 | 416657 | 679575 |
| 1985 | 416624 | 698326 |
| 1986 | 417755 | 715579 |
| 1987 | 419806 | 732310 |

Membership Statistics for WELS

| Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baptized | Confirmed | Child | Adult | Child | Adult | POF | \% SA | Burials |
| 1966 | 367959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 371048 | 256776 | 8128 | 571 | 7152 | 2502 |  |  | 3473 |
| 1968 | 371802 | 259954 | 7930 | 568 | 7462 | 2309 |  |  | 3731 |
| 1969 | 376319 | 265069 | 8123 | 573 | 7531 | 2601 |  |  | 3749 |
| 1970 | 381321 | 271117 | 8571 | 572 | 7446 | 2740 |  |  | 3760 |
| 1971 | 383263 | 275500 | 8437 | 555 | 7749 | 2560 |  |  | 3737 |
| 1972 | 386244 | 279239 | 7886 | 590 | 7464 | 2833 |  |  | 3874 |
| 1973 | 388411 | 283885 | 7599 | 596 | 7824 | 2826 |  |  | 3788 |
| 1974 | 390028 | 287366 | 7725 | 609 | 7624 | 2764 | 3840 | 45.4 | 3710 |
| 1975 | 296545 | 293982 | 8019 | 581 | 7655 | 2977 | 4328 | 46.1 | 3660 |
| 1976 | 400201 | 298614 | 7811 | 615 | 7565 | 3072 | 4617 | 46.6 | 3894 |
| 1977 | 402573 | 302687 | 8300 | 551 | 7424 | 2946 | 4265 | 46.2 | 3756 |
| 1978 | 403910 | 304677 | 8331 | 590 | 7083 | 2942 | 4418 | 45.9 | 3690 |
| 1979 | 405512 | 306995 | 8943 | 569 | 6602 | 3001 | 4469 | 46.1 | 3807 |
| 1980 | 407987 | 309342 | 9098 | 718 | 6422 | 3510 | 4515 | 47.0 | 3770 |
| 1981 | 411216 | 312049 | 9209 | 741 | 6329 | 3745 | 4334 | 47.0 | 3841 |
| 1982 | 413503 | 312917 | 9100 | 697 | 6309 | 3584 | 3900 | 46.3 | 3688 |
| 1983 | 415368 | 314792 | 8914 | 647 | 6133 | 3429 | 3708 | 46.5 | 3994 |
| 1984 | 416657 | 316228 | 8968 | 667 | 6440 | 3404 | 3859 | 46.9 | 3846 |
| 1985 | 416624 | 316297 | 9035 | 625 | 6168 | 3647 | 3893 | 46.4 | 3992 |
| 1986 | 417755 | 317332 | 8739 | 517 | 5895 | 3156 | 3456 | 46.6 | 3993 |
| 1987 | 419806 | 318037 | 8534 | 618 | 5378 | 3419 | 3344 | 46.3 | 3944 |


|  | TI | TO | CD's | JOC | Net Rem. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 8275 | 7999 | 3648 | 3809 | 4261 |
| 1987 | 8120 | 7980 | 3611 | 3691 | 4279 |

## Abbreviations

POF = Profession of faith
\% SA $=\%$ of Sunday Attendance
TI = Transfers in
TO = Transfers out
CD's = Communicant deaths
JOC = Joined other churches
Net Rem. $=$ Net Removal

A Comparison of Membership in LC-MS and HELS


## Appendix B

1. Select key men from the larger Christian body to which you are ministering (congregation, Sunday school class, Bible study group, etc.). Note these verses for the basis of your choice: 2 Tim 2:2; Luke 6:12-13; Mark 3:13.
2. Begin to spend time with this select group (John 3:22). Spend leisure time with them (Mark 6:31). Get them into your home and family life; involve them in your personal life and ministry (Mark 5:37).
3. Provide additional opportunities for teaching through Bible study and discussion, reading, Scripture memorization, tapes, etc.
4. Expose them to other teachers and leaders. It takes all the saints to know all the dimensions of the knowledge of God.
5. Encourage them to open up and share their lives with one another. Set the pace by your own openness and honesty.
6. Be sensitive to teachable moments (Mark 10:1,3-16).
7. Don't be afraid to be hard on these men; God's men will bounce [back] (Mark 8:18,33; 9:1-8; 9:19).
8. Welcome adversity in their lives; these times are opportunities for advancement (Mark 4:35-41).
9. Encourage them into ministries of their own. Give them plenty of rope. You can trust the Holy Spirit in their lives. Provide counsel and encouragement. Evaluate periodically (Mark 6:7-13, 30). Move them out into positions with increasing responsibility. Gently push them out into situations beyond their depth so they have to trust the Lord.
10. Impart your vision to encourage them to disciple others and send them out (John 20:21).
11. Maintain a support base even when they are on their own. Provide help as they need it. Pray for them, write, be available for counsel.
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